

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 \* \* \*

6 Johnny Lee Jones, III,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 Officer T. Rybecki, et al.,

10 Defendants.


Case No. 2:25-cv-00046-APG-DJA

Order

11 Before the Court is Plaintiff Johnny Lee Jones, III, “emergency motion to supplement  
12 ECF 33.” (ECF No. 35). ECF No. 33 is Plaintiff’s his motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on  
13 appeal. (ECF No. 33). However, the Ninth Circuit has provided a docketing notice explaining to  
14 Plaintiff that “[m]otions filed along with the notice of appeal in the district court are not  
15 automatically transferred to this court for filing. Any motion seeking relief from this court must  
16 be separately filed in this court’s docket.” (ECF No. 31). So, to the extent Plaintiff seeks leave  
17 from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to supplement his application to proceed *in forma*  
18 *pauperis*, he must file his motion in the Ninth Circuit court, under his appellate case number.  
19 Because Plaintiff appears to have erroneously filed his motion in this district court, the Court  
20 denies it.

21  
22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s motion (ECF No. 35) is **denied**.

23  
24 DATED: April 9, 2025

25 

26 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28